Research note - 3

A way ahead view on URN Management

G Shwetha Varshini, Research intern

Abstract: Effective management of underwater radiated noise (URN) is crucial for both environmental conservation and operational efficiency in maritime activities. Acoustic stealth technologies are pivotal in minimizing detection risks for submarines and surface vessels, thereby enhancing survivability and mission success. However, challenges such as inconsistent global regulations, inadequate data on noise impacts, and technological limitations in monitoring and mitigation persist. Marine spatial planning plays a vital role in integrating URN management into coastal development strategies, addressing spatial conflicts, and promoting sustainable practices. Inland spatial planning must also consider the impacts of low-frequency noise on freshwater ecosystems, highlighting the need for further research on sources, propagation, and mitigation strategies. Policy gaps include the absence of comprehensive global standards and insufficient international cooperation. Research gaps encompass understanding URN effects on diverse marine species and environments, optimizing mitigation technologies, and assessing economic trade-offs. The way forward involves fostering interdisciplinary research, advancing monitoring technologies, and enhancing public awareness to achieve sustainable URN management.

Keywords: *URN management, marine and freshwater systems, Low frequency noise, Marine Spatial Planning (MSP), Acoustic stealth, Inland Water Transport (IWT), Policy and research gaps, three - fold noise mitigation method, sustainable development goals (SDGs)*

Introduction

Increasing levels of Underwater Radiated Noise (URN) poses a significant threat to marine ecosystems, impacting biodiversity, and hindering the delicate balance of aquatic life. Addressing this challenge is not merely an environmental imperative but a crucial step towards achieving Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs).

Underwater radiated noise stems from a variety of human activities, including shipping, offshore energy sourcing and construction, seismic surveys, and military sonar. These activities generate sound waves that propagate through water, affecting marine organisms across a vast spectrum, from tiny plankton to the largest whales. The consequences are far-reaching, encompassing habitat disruption, communication interference, stress, injury, and even mortality.

To mitigate the adverse effects of URN and foster a sustainable blue economy, it is imperative to develop and implement effective management strategies. This requires a comprehensive understanding of noise sources, propagation pathways, and the sensitivity of marine organisms. By integrating technological advancements, policy frameworks, and international cooperation, we can work towards a future where human activities coexist harmoniously with the underwater world.

This paper delves into the complexities of underwater radiated noise management, examining its impacts, exploring potential solutions, and aligning these efforts with the broader goals of sustainable development.

Low frequency noise

Low-frequency noise poses a significant threat to the underwater world due to its ability to travel long distances with minimal energy loss. Unlike higher frequencies, low-frequency sounds can propagate over vast areas, affecting marine life far from their source. This is particularly detrimental as many marine animals, especially larger species like whales and dolphins, rely on low-frequency sounds for essential activities such as communication, navigation, and finding food. The introduction of low-frequency noise from human activities can mask or interfere with these vital sounds, hindering their ability to survive and thrive. Moreover, exposure to intense low-frequency noise can cause hearing damage, physiological stress, and behavioural changes in marine animals, ultimately leading to habitat disruption and population declines. Commercial shipping reigns as the most significant contributor, with propeller cavitation, machinery, and hull vibrations generating substantial noise.[1]

Acoustic stealth

Acoustic stealth, the ability of a vessel to remain undetected by sonar, is a critical aspect of naval warfare. It's fundamentally tied to the management of underwater radiated noise (URN). While acoustic stealth is crucial for military operations, it's also relevant to the broader issue of underwater noise pollution and habitat degradation. The technologies and techniques used to reduce URN for military purposes can be adapted for civilian applications, such as commercial shipping.

Acoustic stealth holds paramount importance for military operations due to several critical reasons. Firstly, it significantly enhances survivability by reducing the likelihood of detection, thereby increasing the chances of submarines and surface vessels to navigate hostile environments undetected. This stealth capability not only safeguards personnel and equipment but also enhances operational effectiveness by minimizing the risk of enemy engagements.

Secondly, stealth technology facilitates mission success by enabling covert operations, intelligence gathering, and surprise attacks. By remaining undetected, military units can execute strategic manoeuvres without alerting adversaries, thereby achieving tactical advantages and maintaining operational secrecy.

Moreover, acoustic stealth plays a pivotal role in deterrence strategies. The ability to conduct undetected operations enhances the perceived capability and readiness of military forces, which can dissuade potential adversaries from engaging in hostile actions. This deterrent effect underscores the strategic value of acoustic stealth as a force multiplier in maintaining national security and international stability.

Military vessels, particularly submarines, generate significant underwater radiated noise (URN) primarily from propeller cavitation, machinery vibrations, and hull-water interactions. To achieve acoustic stealth, various strategies are employed: noise reduction through optimized propeller design, machinery isolation, and sound-absorbing hull coatings; noise control using active noise cancellation and quiet materials; and operational techniques like speed reduction, depth control, and cautious manoeuvring.[2]

Three-Fold Underwater Noise Mitigation Method

1. Design Phase Mitigation

- **Hydrodynamic Optimization:** Designing vessel hulls and propellers to minimize turbulence, cavitation, and hydrodynamic noise generation.
- **Material Selection:** Using sound-absorbing and vibration-damping materials for hull construction and internal components.
- **Equipment Placement and Isolation:** Carefully positioning noise-generating equipment (engines, pumps, etc.) and isolating them from the hull to reduce vibration transmission.
- **Acoustic Modelling:** Utilizing computational fluid dynamics (CFD) and acoustic modelling to predict noise levels and optimize designs before construction.[3][4]

2. Construction Phase Mitigation

- **Quality Control:** Implementing strict quality control measures to ensure proper assembly and installation of components to prevent noise-generating defects.
- **Vibration Isolation:** Employing effective vibration isolation techniques between machinery and the hull, including the use of mounts, dampers, and resilient materials.
- **Acoustic Sealing:** Sealing all potential noise paths, such as hull joints, pipe penetrations, and access points, to prevent sound leakage.
- **Weight Control:** Optimizing vessel weight distribution to reduce hull-induced vibrations. [3][4]

3. Operational Phase Mitigation

1. **Speed Reduction:** Operating vessels at reduced speeds to minimize propeller cavitation and hydrodynamic noise.
2. **Route Optimization:** Selecting quieter routes or depths to avoid areas with high ambient noise levels.
3. **Equipment Maintenance:** Regularly maintaining machinery and propulsion systems to prevent increased noise levels due to wear and tear.
4. **Operational Procedures:** Implementing standardized operational procedures to minimize noise-generating activities, such as avoiding rapid manoeuvres or sudden changes in depth.

5. **Monitoring and Assessment:** Continuously monitoring underwater noise levels and conducting regular assessments to identify and address noise sources. [3][4]

Marine Spatial Planning (MSP)

Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) is a comprehensive approach to organizing human activities in marine areas to achieve ecological, economic, and social objectives through integrated planning processes. When addressing underwater radiated noise management within MSP, key considerations include spatial planning and zoning strategies. These involve mapping and designating specific areas for activities, aiming to minimize noise overlap with sensitive marine habitats and species. Stakeholder engagement is crucial, bringing together diverse groups like government agencies, industries, and environmental organizations to understand concerns and develop consensus on noise management strategies.

Environmental protection is a central goal of MSP, including measures to mitigate the disruptive effects of noise on marine ecosystems. Monitoring and adaptive management play vital roles, allowing for continuous assessment of noise impacts and the flexibility to adjust strategies based on new data or changing conditions. Policy integration and governance ensure that noise management measures align with broader marine conservation goals and are consistently enforced across sectors. By integrating underwater noise management into MSP frameworks, it becomes possible to foster sustainable marine activities while safeguarding marine biodiversity and ecosystem health.

Emerging Technologies in MSP (Marine Spatial Planning)

New technologies are revolutionizing the field of Marine Spatial Planning (MSP), enabling more accurate data collection, sophisticated analysis, and effective decision-making. Here are some key technologies driving innovation in MSP: [19-22]

Data Acquisition and Processing

- **Remote Sensing:** Satellites, drones, and aerial platforms equipped with advanced sensors collect high-resolution data on oceanographic, biological, and human activities.[17]

- **Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs):** These unmanned vehicles gather data on underwater environments, including water quality, marine life, and seabed topography.[18]

- **LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging):** Used for precise mapping of coastal and underwater features, providing valuable data for coastal zone management and habitat mapping.[16]

Data Analysis and Visualization

- **Geographic Information Systems (GIS):** Powerful tools for integrating and analysing spatial data, enabling the creation of interactive maps and models for MSP.

- **Spatial Modelling:** Advanced statistical and computational techniques to simulate and predict the impacts of different MSP scenarios.
- **Big Data Analytics:** Processing and analysing large datasets to identify patterns and trends in ocean use and environmental conditions.
- **Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Machine Learning:** Algorithms to analyse complex data, identify trends, and support decision-making processes.

Stakeholder Engagement and Communication

- **Virtual and Augmented Reality:** Immersive technologies to engage stakeholders in the planning process by creating virtual representations of marine environments.
- **Online Platforms:** Digital platforms for collaborative planning, data sharing, and public participation.
- **Social media:** Leveraging social media to reach a wider audience and gather public input.

Areas of Improvement in Marine Spatial Planning (MSP)

1. Data Integration and Standardization

- **Data Quality:** Ensuring accurate, consistent, and up-to-date data is crucial.
- **Data Sharing:** Facilitating data sharing among different stakeholders and organizations.
- **Standardization:** Developing common data formats and standards for interoperability.

2. Stakeholder Engagement and Capacity Building

- **Inclusive Participation:** Involving a wider range of stakeholders, including indigenous communities and marginalized groups.
- **Capacity Building:** Providing training and resources for stakeholders to effectively participate in the MSP process.
- **Conflict Resolution:** Developing robust mechanisms for addressing conflicts among stakeholders.

3. Ecosystem-Based Management (EBM) Integration

- **Stronger EBM Integration:** Aligning MSP with EBM principles to ensure the long-term health of marine ecosystems.
- **Cumulative Impact Assessment:** Considering the combined effects of multiple human activities on marine ecosystems.

4. Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning (MEL)

- **Effective Monitoring:** Implementing robust monitoring systems to track the performance of MSP plans.
- **Adaptive Management:** Using evaluation findings to make necessary adjustments to MSP plans.
- **Knowledge Sharing:** Disseminating lessons learned from MSP experiences to improve future planning.

5. Governance and Institutional Arrangements

- **Clear Roles and Responsibilities:** Defining the roles and responsibilities of different government agencies involved in MSP.
- **Effective Coordination:** Enhancing coordination among different levels of government and stakeholders.
- **Long-Term Commitment:** Ensuring sustained political and financial support for MSP.

6. Public Awareness and Education

- **Increased Public Awareness:** Raising public awareness about the importance of MSP and its benefits.
- **Education and Outreach:** Developing educational programs to build public support for MSP.

By addressing these areas, MSP can become a more effective tool for achieving sustainable ocean management and balancing competing demands on marine resources. [19-22]

Spatial planning for freshwater systems

A spatial planning framework is crucial for effective URN management in freshwater systems. By identifying sensitive ecological areas, optimizing vessel routes, and establishing monitoring and enforcement mechanisms, this framework enables targeted noise mitigation strategies. It also facilitates the assessment of cumulative noise impacts from various human activities and promotes public participation in decision-making. Additionally, a spatial planning framework provides a structure for data management and analysis, supporting informed decision-making and the development of sustainable management plans.[5][6]

Inland water transport

The revival of inland water transport (IWT) presents a significant opportunity for sustainable development. As nations invest in modernizing waterways, expanding infrastructure, and integrating IWT into broader transportation networks, it becomes imperative to consider the environmental implications. Underwater radiated noise (URN) emerges as a critical factor in this context. The potential for increased vessel traffic necessitates a proactive approach to URN management. By understanding the impact of noise pollution on aquatic ecosystems, and implementing measures to mitigate URN, countries can ensure that the growth of IWT aligns with principles of environmental sustainability. This proactive stance not only safeguards biodiversity but also contributes to the long-term viability and public acceptance of inland waterways as a preferred mode of transportation.[7][8][9]

Research extension

1. **Biological Criticality of Aquatic Life:** Aquatic organisms, including marine mammals, fish, and invertebrates, rely heavily on sound for communication, navigation, foraging, and avoiding predators. Excessive underwater radiated noise (URN) can disrupt these essential behaviours, leading to reduced reproductive success, increased stress levels, and even physical injury or death. Understanding the biological impacts of URN is crucial for assessing the overall health of marine ecosystems.[10]

2. **Quantification of the impacts:** Quantification of the impacts of Underwater Radiated Noise (URN) is pivotal for effective URN management. Firstly, it provides a solid foundation for establishing baselines and setting targets by understanding current noise levels and their impact on marine life. This data enables the establishment of realistic and measurable goals for noise reduction efforts. Additionally, quantified impacts help prioritize mitigation efforts by identifying critical areas and conducting cost-benefit analyses to allocate resources efficiently.

   Furthermore, quantified data supports the evaluation of mitigation strategies by measuring their effectiveness before and after implementation. This approach allows for the optimization of solutions by comparing the impacts of different measures, ensuring the most efficient and cost-effective approaches are implemented.

   In terms of regulatory development and enforcement, quantified URN data provides a scientific basis for developing evidence-based regulations and standards. It also aids in monitoring compliance and enforcing penalties by accurately assessing noise levels against established benchmarks.

   Public awareness and engagement benefit significantly from quantified URN data as it helps communicate the severity of the issue and demonstrates the tangible benefits of management efforts. This, in turn, can build public support for mitigation measures.

   Internationally, sharing quantified data facilitates cooperation in URN management by fostering collaboration between countries and harmonizing standards for assessment and mitigation methodologies. Overall, quantification of URN impacts serves as a cornerstone for effective management strategies, ensuring the protection of marine ecosystems and enhancing military operational capabilities.[11]

3. **Species-Specific Research:** Research on the impacts of Underwater Radiated Noise (URN) should prioritize understanding how different species of marine life respond based on their unique characteristics and ecological roles. Focusing on target species such as marine mammals (e.g., whales, dolphins), fish species like herring and cod, and invertebrates such as squid and crustaceans is essential. Conducting species-specific studies helps elucidate how URN affects their hearing sensitivity, behaviour, and overall health.

Additionally, investigating the effects of URN across different life stages, from larvae and juveniles to adults is crucial. This approach helps identify critical periods of vulnerability during development where exposure to noise could have significant impacts on survival, growth, and reproductive success.

Moreover, studying ecological interactions influenced by URN is vital. Changes in the behaviour of one species due to noise pollution can cascade through ecosystems, affecting predator-prey relationships, competition dynamics, and overall biodiversity. Understanding these interactions is essential for predicting and mitigating broader ecological consequences of URN.

By focusing research efforts on these areas of target species, life stages, and ecological interactions, scientists can deepen their understanding of how URN impacts marine ecosystems and species. This knowledge forms the basis for developing effective conservation strategies and management practices aimed at minimizing the detrimental effects of underwater noise pollution on marine life.[12][10]

4. **Understanding sound propagation:** A comprehensive understanding of how sound propagates through underwater environments is crucial for effective management of Underwater Radiated Noise (URN). This knowledge serves several critical purposes. It enables accurate prediction of noise impact on marine life by assessing how sound travels and attenuates over distances and depths. Identifying regions where sound propagates effectively helps pinpoint areas vulnerable to noise pollution, aiding in the identification of sensitive habitats.

   Understanding sound propagation patterns is essential for designing effective mitigation strategies. By knowing how sound behaves underwater, scientists and engineers can develop measures to reduce noise levels effectively. Evaluating the effectiveness of these mitigation strategies also relies on knowledge of sound propagation dynamics, ensuring their practical application and efficacy in reducing URN.

   Moreover, sound propagation data forms the basis for developing science-based regulations and guidelines for URN management. This information is crucial for policymakers and regulators in establishing measures to mitigate noise pollution and protect marine ecosystems.

   Despite significant advancements, several knowledge gaps remain in understanding sound propagation in underwater environments. Research needs include further exploration of sound propagation in freshwater systems, which differs from marine environments. Factors such as ocean currents, temperature gradients, and the presence of marine life also influence sound propagation and require more detailed quantification. Understanding long-range sound propagation is essential for assessing the cumulative impacts of URN over vast distances. Additionally, the propagation of low-frequency sounds, known to be particularly harmful to marine life, necessitates further investigation to mitigate their effects effectively.

Addressing these knowledge gaps through continued research will enhance our ability to manage URN effectively, safeguarding marine ecosystems and biodiversity against the adverse effects of underwater noise pollution.[13]

5. **Exploring naturally available options:** While URN management has primarily focused on technological and operational interventions in marine environments, there is an increasing recognition of the potential for natural solutions in freshwater systems, such as riparian buffers. Riparian buffers are vegetated areas along water bodies that act as a transition zone between aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. Traditionally studied for water quality improvement, erosion control, and habitat provision, their role in mitigating URN has not been fully explored.

   Riparian buffers offer several potential mechanisms for URN mitigation. Firstly, the vegetation within these buffers can absorb and scatter sound waves, potentially reducing the propagation of URN within aquatic environments. Additionally, the presence of riparian vegetation creates a more complex underwater environment, which may disrupt sound propagation patterns and lessen URN impacts on aquatic organisms.

   However, significant gaps in knowledge remain. Quantifying the effectiveness of riparian buffers in reducing URN is essential but currently lacking substantial quantitative data. Understanding the specific mechanisms by which riparian buffers attenuate sound, and how these mechanisms vary with buffer characteristics like width, vegetation type, and density, is critical for optimizing their design. Moreover, research is needed to determine the ideal configuration of riparian buffers for URN mitigation while considering potential trade-offs with other ecosystem services they provide and exploring synergies with existing management practices. [14]

Policy gaps in URN Management

Governments and regulatory bodies play a pivotal role in managing Underwater Radiated Noise (URN) by overseeing the development and enforcement of regulations, funding research initiatives, monitoring noise levels, raising public awareness, fostering international cooperation, and ensuring compliance with established standards. These actions collectively contribute significantly to safeguarding marine ecosystems from the detrimental effects of URN. However, despite growing awareness of URN's impacts, several policy gaps impede effective management. The lack of comprehensive global regulations leads to inconsistent standards across jurisdictions, posing challenges for international shipping and marine operations. Moreover, the absence of a unified global governance body dedicated to URN management hampers coordinated efforts on a global scale.

Insufficient data and knowledge present significant challenges. There is a scarcity of data on URN sources, propagation dynamics, and impacts, which limits the development of effective policies. Understanding URN's effects on specific marine ecosystems and species remains incomplete, hindering targeted conservation efforts.

Monitoring and enforcement face challenges due to limited resources, including funding and personnel, as well as technical limitations in deploying effective URN monitoring technologies. International cooperation for data sharing and enforcement coordination across borders is also lacking, further complicating efforts.

Economically, there are trade-offs between promoting economic growth and implementing URN mitigation measures. High costs associated with adopting URN reduction technologies can deter industry compliance, posing additional hurdles.

Public awareness and engagement constitute another critical area. Limited public understanding of URN and its impacts reduces support for mitigation efforts. Communicating complex scientific information effectively to the public remains a challenge, impeding broader awareness and advocacy.[11][15]

Lastly, freshwater systems receive inadequate attention in URN management policies, which primarily focus on marine ecosystems. There is a scarcity of data on URN in freshwater environments, including its sources, propagation patterns, and interactions with other pollutants or habitat degradation stressors.

Addressing these policy gaps through enhanced international cooperation, increased research efforts, improved monitoring technologies, and effective public outreach is crucial for advancing URN management and ensuring the sustainability of marine and freshwater ecosystems alike.

Conclusion

Understanding and effectively managing Underwater Radiated Noise (URN) is crucial for achieving sustainable development in marine and freshwater environments. URN can have significant impacts on marine ecosystems, including disruption of communication, navigation, and feeding behaviours of marine species. These impacts can lead to ecological imbalances and biodiversity loss, affecting fisheries, tourism, and coastal communities dependent on healthy marine ecosystems.

Filling policy and research gaps is essential for improving URN management for several reasons. Establishing comprehensive and consistent global regulations ensures that URN impacts are addressed uniformly across jurisdictions, promoting sustainable practices in maritime industries. Clear policies help mitigate conflicts between economic development and environmental conservation, ensuring long-term sustainability.

Addressing research gaps enhances our understanding of URN sources, propagation patterns, and ecological impacts. This knowledge is crucial for developing effective mitigation strategies and technologies that minimize URN without compromising operational efficiency or economic growth. Research also helps identify vulnerable species and habitats, guiding targeted conservation efforts and adaptive management practices.

By filling policy and research gaps, we can improve URN management practices that are integral to achieving sustainable development goals related to marine conservation, ecosystem resilience, and equitable use of marine resources. This approach protects marine biodiversity and supports sustainable livelihoods, contributing to a balanced and sustainable future for marine and inland water environments.

References

1.Large Vessel Activity and Low-Frequency Underwater Sound Benchmarks in United States Waters, Frontiers in Marine Science, Volume 8, 2021, Haver Samara M., Adams Jeffrey D., Hatch Leila T., Van Parijs Sofie M., Dziak Robert P., Haxel Joseph, Heppell Scott A., McKenna Megan F., Mellinger David K., Gedamke Jason, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/marine-science/articles/10.3389/fmars.2021.669528.

2. Unseen & Unheard: The Role of Stealth, SP's Naval Forces, May 2023, Vice Admiral A.K. Chawla (Retd), https://www.spsnavalforces.com/story/?id=842&h=Unseen-and-Unheard-The-Role-of-Stealth

3. International maritime organization (IMO), revised on 22 august 2023, Revised guidelines for the reduction of underwater radiated noise from shipping to address adverse impacts on marine life, MEPC.1/circ.906

4.Practical Considerations for Underwater Noise Control, 2021, American Bureau of Shipping, https://ww2.eagle.org/content/dam/eagle/publications/whitepapers/underwater-noise-control-whitepaper-21011.pdf

5. Carter, Jeremy. (2007). Spatial planning, water and the Water Framework Directive: Insights from theory and practice. The Geographical Journal. 173. 330 - 342. 10.1111/j.1475-4959.2007.00257.x.

6. Zhi-Tao Wang, Tomonari Akamatsu, Peng-Xiang Duan, Lu Zhou, Jing Yuan, Jiao Li, Pei-Yu Lei, Yu-Wei Chen, Yi-Ning Yang, Ke-Xiong Wang, Ding Wang, Underwater noise pollution in China's Yangtze River critically endangers Yangtze finless porpoises (Neophocaena asiaeorientalis asiaeorientalis), Environmental Pollution, Volume 262, 2020, 114310, ISSN 0269-7491, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.114310.

7. UN ECE Inland Water Transport Meeting, 16 February 2022, https://www.saturnh2020.eu/post/saturn-un-ece-inland-water-transport-meeting

8. Calderón-Rivera, N., Bartusevičienė, I. & Ballini, F. Sustainable development of inland waterways transport: a review. *J. shipp. trd.* **9**, 3 (2024). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41072-023-00162-9

9. Ministry of Ports, Shipping and Waterways, Government intends to increase the share of Inland Water Transport (IWT) to 5% as per Maritime India Vision (MIV)-2030, Posted on 17 MAR 2023 4:08PM by PIB Delhi

10. Southall, Brandon & Bowles, Ann & Ellison, William & Finneran, J.J. & Gentry, R.L. & Green, C.R. & Kastak, C.R. & Ketten, Darlene & Miller, James & Nachtigall, Paul & Richardson, W. & Thomas, Jeanette & Tyack, Peter. (2007). Marine mammal noise exposure criteria. Aquat. Mamm.. 33. 10.1121/AT.2021.17.2.52.

11. Nathan D. Merchant, 2019, Underwater noise abatement: Economic factors and policy options, Environmental Science & Policy, Volume 92, Pages 116-123, ISSN 1462-9011, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2018.11.014.

12. https://tsc2021.emso.eu/wp-content/uploads/2021/10/TOPIC-6_kellett.pdf

13. Nienke C.F. van Geel, Denise Risch, Anja Wittich, A brief overview of current approaches for underwater sound analysis and reporting, Marine Pollution Bulletin, Volume 178, 2022, 113610, ISSN 0025-326X, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.marpolbul.2022.113610.

14. https://aerisfuturo.pl/en/knowledge-base/trees-in-the-fight-against-noise-pollution/

15. Emily Chou, Brandon L. Southall, Martin Robards, Howard C. Rosenbaum, International policy, recommendations, actions and mitigation efforts of anthropogenic underwater noise, Ocean & Coastal Management, Volume 202, 2021, 105427, ISSN 0964-5691, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ocecoaman.2020.105427.

16. Gupta, Pradip & Sankolli, Swati & Chakraborty, Arun. (2016). Underwater lidar system: design challenges and application in pollution detection. 98780S. 10.1117/12.2224466.

17. William Ouellette, Wondifraw Getinet, Remote sensing for Marine Spatial Planning and Integrated Coastal Areas Management: Achievements, challenges, opportunities and future prospects, Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment, Volume 4, 2016, Pages 138-157, ISSN 2352-9385, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2016.07.003.

18. Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) Design & Development for Effective Underwater Domain Awareness (UDA) Framework in the Indian Ocean Region (IOR), UDA Digest, Maritime Research Center (MRC), 17 Feb 2022, Dr. (Cdr.) Arnab Das, https://digest.foundationforuda.in/2022/02/17/autonomous-underwater-vehicle-auv-design-development-for-effective-underwater-domain-awareness-uda-framework-in-the-indian-ocean-region-ior-2/#:~:text=An%20AUV%2C%20is%20a%20self,biological%20properties%20of%20the%20water.

19. https://maritimeresearchcenter.com/marine-spatial planning/#:~:text=Marine%20Spatial%20Planning%20is%20a,environmental%20aspects%20of%20marine%20activities.

20. Way Ahead for the Underwater Radiated Noise Management, UDA Digest, Maritime Research Center, 1 March 2022, Dr. (Cdr.) Arnab Das, https://digest.foundationforuda.in/2022/03/01/https-digest-foundationforuda-in-2022-03-01-way-ahead-for-the-underwater-radiated-noise-management/

21.https://oceans-and-fisheries.ec.europa.eu/ocean/blue-economy/maritime-spatial planning_en#:~:text=Maritime%20spatial%20planning%20(MSP)%20is,efficient%2C%20safe%20and%20sustainable%20way.

22. Marine Spatial Planning (MSP) Implementation, based on Modelling & Simulation (M&S), driven by the Underwater Domain Awareness (UDA) framework, UDA Digest, Maritime Research Center, 2 July 2024, Dr. (Cdr.) Arnab Das, https://digest.foundationforuda.in/2024/07/02/marine-spatial-planning-msp-implementation-based-on-modelling-simulation-ms-driven-by-the-underwater-domain-awareness-uda-framework/